

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/727,659	Applicant(s) JANG ET AL.	
	Examiner Roberta Prendergast	Art Unit 2628	

All Participants:

(1) Roberta Prendergast.

(2) Nicole Dretar.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101 and 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 16-18, 20 and 24

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



ULKA CHAUHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Prendergast spoke with Applicant's Representative several times regarding a possible amendment to the discussed claims in order to overcome the 35 USC 101 and 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, rejections thereby possibly placing the application in condition for allowance. After consulting with her client, Applicant's representative indicated that the applicant preferred to receive an office action rejecting the claims thus extending the time for reply..